Case 3:23-cv-03417-VC Document 543-4 Filed 04/17/25 Page 1 of 9

GHAJAR EXHIBIT 56

1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	
	RICHARD KADREY, et al.,)Volume 2
6	Individual and)
	Representative)
7)
	Plaintiffs,)Case No.
8)3:23-cv-03417-VC
	vs.
9)
	META PLATFORMS, INC., a
10	Delaware corporation;)
)
11	Defendant.)
)
12	
13	
14	
15	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION
16	OF
17	CRISTINA VIDEIRA LOPES, Ph.D.
18	Friday, March 7, 2025
19	Santa Ovaia de Cima, Portugal
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by: B. Suzanne Hull, CSR No. 13495
	Page 205

Case 3:23-cv-03417-VC Document 543-4 Filed 04/17/25 Page 3 of 9

1	A. Correct. Yes.	10:22:09
2	Q. Okay. And then so but your report	10:22:09
3	doesn't look like it has analysis of memorization	10:22:11
4	using the Meta AI model; correct?	10:22:14
5	A. That is that is correct, yeah.	10:22:18
6	Q. Okay. Dr. Lopes, if you could turn to page	10:22:20
7	two of your report, around paragraph seven.	10:22:40
8	A. (Witness complies.)	10:22:43
9	MR. YOUNG: Counsel, just to clarify, you	10:22:45
10	mean the supplemental report?	10:22:48
11	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes.	10:22:50
12	Exhibit 13. Thank you.	10:22:50
13	MR. YOUNG: Thank you.	10:22:54
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.	10:22:54
15	BY MR. WEINSTEIN:	10:22:55
16	Q. And then I'll just read the first part of	10:22:58
17	paragraph seven, and we'll ask some questions about	10:23:07
18	it:	10:23:09
19	"After the corrections," comma, "the	10:23:10
20	Llama 3 70B model was able to continue all	10:23:12
21	seventy-three passages successfully,	10:23:17
22	according to multiple metrics, showing strong	10:23:20
23	memorization effects."	10:23:21
24	Do you see that?	10:23:22
25	A. Yes.	10:23:23
	P	age 223

1	Q. In the next sentence you say:	10:23:23
2	"In nineteen out of seventy-three of the	10:23:26
3	cases (26 percent), the Llama 3 70B model was	10:23:27
4	able to extend the prompt with tokens that	10:23:31
5	were 100 percent identical to the	10:23:34
6	corresponding text in the copyrighted work."	10:23:37
7	Do you see that?	10:23:38
8	A. Yes.	10:23:39
9	Q. That is a lower percentage than the original	10:23:39
10	results in the original rebuttal; correct?	10:23:43
11	A. Correct.	10:23:47
12	Q. You also mention in your report and in	10:23:48
13	paragraph eight that similarity metric known as	10:23:53
14	Jaccard, J-a-c-c-a-r-d; correct?	10:23:58
15	A. Yes.	10:24:01
16	Q. And Jaccard, that is a similarity where you	10:24:02
17	identify the number of items that are in common	10:24:07
18	between two tests.	10:24:13
19	And then you identify the total number, and	10:24:14
20	you just divide the intersection by the unit to get	10:24:18
21	a number; correct?	10:24:22
22	A. Correct.	10:24:23
23	Q. So it in Jaccard, is it true that the	10:24:24
24	word order is not taken into account?	10:24:29
25	A. That is correct.	10:24:32
	F	Page 224

1	BY MR. WEINSTEIN:	10:37:54
2	Q. Or memorization tests, I should say.	10:37:54
3	MR. YOUNG: Same objection.	10:37:57
4	BY MR. WEINSTEIN:	10:38:08
5	Q. Now, before the seventy-three samples that	10:38:14
6	you tested for your rebuttal report, you were able to	10:38:17
7	identify certain passages from certain works that	10:38:25
8	Llama was able to complete at least a portion of	10:38:31
9	them; correct?	10:38:34
10	A. Correct.	10:38:34
11	Q. Do you know what proportion of the	10:38:37
12	underlying books Meta was able to complete through	10:38:42
13	these samples?	10:38:47
14	MR. YOUNG: Objection. Form. Scope.	10:38:48
15	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?	10:38:52
16	I'm not sure I understand.	10:38:54
17	BY MR. WEINSTEIN:	10:38:55
18	Q. Sure.	10:38:55
19	You identify a bunch of passages that Llama	10:38:56
20	could, to some extent, complete from original works;	10:39:01
21	correct?	10:39:06
22	A. Correct.	10:39:07
23	Q. The question was do you know how large the	10:39:07
24	original works were that were referenced in the	10:39:10
25	samples?	10:39:13
		Page 234

1	MR. YOUNG: Same objection. Form and scope.	10:39:14
2	THE WITNESS: So I think this gets okay.	10:39:18
3	I so I I don't know. I mean, it is much it	10:39:20
4	is a lot it is a lot more passages than these, of	10:39:23
5	course. But this goes, again, to my point that this	10:39:27
6	was not an experiment. This was a test. You have	10:39:29
7	that those passages that you know, I I	10:39:33
8	you know, I didn't choose them. Those those	10:39:36
9	passages were given to me. And the task was to check	10:39:37
10	whether the results that Mr. Hunt had obtained on	10:39:41
11	those passages could be reproduced.	10:39:44
12	BY MR. WEINSTEIN:	10:39:47
13	Q. Right.	10:39:47
14	A. That that was that was the test here;	10:39:47
15	so it was not to to check how much of the books we	10:39:49
16	could reproduce.	10:39:55
17	Q. Understood.	10:39:57
18	So so you didn't analyze what percentage	10:39:58
19	of the underlying books Meta was able to reproduce;	10:40:01
20	correct?	10:40:06
21	A. That is correct.	10:40:06
22	Q. Okay. I would like to present as the next	10:40:06
23	exhibit in order I think it is Exhibit 14 one	10:40:13
24	of the samples from your report your supplemental	10:40:16
25	report.	10:40:23
	Pa	age 235

1	BY MR. WEINSTEIN:	10:43:02
2	Q. And this is the same this is the same	10:43:02
3	excerpt from your supplemental report, also from	10:43:07
4	Daniel Okrent, "Last Call." And if you look at the	10:43:13
5	second sheet, I have a portion of the United States	10:43:19
6	Constitution, which has been reproduced from the	10:43:23
7	Website congress.gov.	10:43:26
8	And if you can confirm for me that this	10:43:30
9	excerpt is actually the portion of the	10:43:33
10	Fourteenth Amendment to the United States	10:43:38
11	Constitution.	10:43:39
12	A. It looks like it is.	10:43:40
13	Q. Okay. I guess my question is when you see	10:43:41
14	an example like this where Mr. Hunt has reported on	10:43:44
<mark>15</mark>	a book that is has a common text that Llama is	10:43:47
16	able to reproduce, we don't actually know whether or	10:43:55
17	not Llama was able to reproduce it because it learned	10:43:59
18	it from the book, as opposed to learning it from some	10:44:02
19	other public source; correct?	10:44:06
20	MR. YOUNG: Objection. Form and scope.	10:44:08
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	10:44:10
22	BY MR. WEINSTEIN:	10:44:10
23	Q. And then so so for any of the	10:44:11
24	samples that we have in your report, we don't	10:44:14
25	actually know if Meta's ability to reproduce portions	10:44:19
	Pa	ge 238

```
1
     STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
                          ) ss.
 2
     COUNTY OF KERN
 3
 4
              I, B. Suzanne Hull, a Certified Shorthand
 5
     Reporter in the State of California, holding
 6
 7
     Certificate Number 13495, do hereby certify that
     CRISTINA VIDEIRA LOPES, Ph.D., the witness named in
8
     the foregoing deposition, was by me duly sworn; that
 9
10
     said deposition, was taken Friday, March 7, 2025, at
11
     the time and place set forth on the first page
     hereof.
12
13
              That upon the taking of the deposition, the
     words of the witness were written down by me in
14
15
     stenotypy and thereafter transcribed by computer
     under my supervision; that the foregoing is a true
16
17
     and correct transcript of the testimony given by the
18
     witness.
19
              Pursuant to Federal Rule 30(e), transcript
20
     review was requested.
              I further certify that I am neither counsel
21
     for nor in any way related to any party to said
22
23
     action, nor in any way interested in the result or
     outcome thereof.
24
25
     ///
                                                 Page 250
```

1	Dated this 7th day of March, 2025, at
2	Bakersfield, California.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Dognnedfill
8	Congueres from
9	B. Suzanne Hull, CSR No. 13495
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
2 3	
24	
25	
	Page 251